

Steve Sisolak
Governor

Richard
Whitley, MS
Director



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

AGING AND DISABILITY SERVICES DIVISION
Helping people. It's who we are and what we do.



Dena
Schmidt
Administrat
or

APPLIED BEHAVIOR ANALYSIS BOARD DRAFT MEETING MINUTES

Date of Posting: June 1, 2021

Date and Time of Meeting: June 9, 2021, 10:00 AM

Name of Organization: The Board of Applied Behavior Analysis

Place of Meeting: Aging and Disability Services Division
3416 Goni Road, Building D Suite 132
Carson City, NV 89403

Or Virtually
Join on your computer or mobile app

[Click here to join the meeting](#)

Or call in (audio only)

[+1 775-321-6111](tel:+1775-321-6111), [701272664#](tel:+1775-701-272-664) United States, Reno

Phone Conference ID: 701 272 664#

In certain situations, the option exists to declare the meeting on that agenda item to be a Closed (Executive) Session per NRS 241.030.

All times are approximate. The Board reserves the right to take items in a different order, items may be combined for consideration by the Public Body and items may be pulled or removed at any time to accomplish business in the most efficient manner.

AGENDA

1. Roll Call and Verification of Posting

Laryna Lewis verified posting. The following board members were present: Dr. Brighid Fronapfel, Christy Fuller, Rachel Gwin, Dr. Patrick Leytham, and Courtney LoMonaco. Meeting proceeded with quorum.

2. Public Comment

(No action may be taken upon a matter raised under public comment period unless the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an item. Comments will be limited to three minutes per person. Persons making comment will be

asked to begin by stating their name for the record and to spell their last name and provide the secretary with written comments.)

No public comment.

3. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes (For Possible Action)

Dr. Brigid Fronapfel asked the board members if they had any edits/modifications to the previous meeting minutes. Seeing none, Dr. Fronapfel requested a motion. Courtney moved to approve the meeting minutes from May 10, 2021. Christy seconded the motion. All in favor, motion passed.

4. Presentation and Discussion of Legislative Updates and Bills by the Nevada Association for Behavior Analysis

- **SB96-** AN ACT relating to disability services; requiring the Department of Health and Human Services to seek an increase to certain reimbursement rates under the Medicaid program and the Autism Treatment Assistance Program for a registered behavior technician; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.
- **SB217-** AN ACT relating to applied behavior analysis; transferring responsibilities concerning licensing and regulation of the practice of applied behavior analysis from the Aging and Disability Services Division of the Department of Health and Human Services to the Board of Applied Behavior Analysis; making provisions governing providers of health care applicable to behavior analysts, assistant behavior analysts and registered behavior technicians; authorizing the Board to contract with certain entities to carry out duties relating to regulating the practice of applied behavior analysis; requiring members of the Board to complete orientation; revising the activities that constitute the practice of applied behavior analysis; revising requirements concerning the supervision of assistant behavior analysts and registered behavior technicians; exempting certain persons from provisions governing the practice of applied behavior analysis; revising the membership of the Board; establishing requirements for the ethical practice of applied behavior analysis; revising provisions governing licensure by endorsement and disciplinary actions; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.
- **AB327-** Existing law requires behavior analysts, physicians, physician assistants, nurses, psychologists, marriage and family therapists, clinical professional counselors, social workers, clinical alcohol and drug counselors, alcohol and drug counselors and problem gambling counselors to complete certain continuing education as a condition to the renewal of a license or certificate. (NRS 437.225, 630.253, 632.343, 633.471, 641.220, 641A.260, 641B.280, 641C.450) Existing law requires certain facilities that provide health care to conduct training relating to cultural competency for any agent or employee of such a facility who provides care to a patient or resident of the facility. (NRS 449.103) Sections 1-7.5 of this bill require a psychiatrist, physician assistant practicing under the supervision of a psychiatrist, nurse, marriage and family therapist, clinical professional counselor, social worker, clinical alcohol and drug counselor, alcohol and drug counselor, problem gambling counselor or behavior analyst to complete a certain number of hours of instruction concerning cultural competency and diversity, equity and inclusion as part of that continuing education. Sections 1-7.5 authorize such a

provider who receives training relating to cultural competency as the employee of a facility that provides health care to use that training to satisfy the requirement that such a provider complete a certain number of hours of instruction concerning cultural competency and diversity, equity and inclusion.

Seeing as Molly Halligan was not present, Dr. Fronapfel provided the update to this agenda item. She stated that SB96 was passed/signed and there were some modifications to the reimbursement rate which went down to approximately \$50. SB217, which is the Applied Behavior Analysis (ABA) Board's bill, had been signed by the Governor. Dr. Fronapfel believes AB327 has been engrossed but will be signed by the Governor as well. She explained that this bill requires the cultural and diversity CEUs each biennium as part of their 32 CEU requirement for renewal.

5. Review, Discussion, and Possible Approval of Board Activities Necessary to Implement SB 217 if it Passes Including Review of Discussion with Department of Public Safety
(For Possible Action)

- **SB217**- AN ACT relating to applied behavior analysis; transferring responsibilities concerning licensing and regulation of the practice of applied behavior analysis from the Aging and Disability Services Division of the Department of Health and Human Services to the Board of Applied Behavior Analysis; making provisions governing providers of health care applicable to behavior analysts, assistant behavior analysts and registered behavior technicians; authorizing the Board to contract with certain entities to carry out duties relating to regulating the practice of applied behavior analysis; requiring members of the Board to complete orientation; revising the activities that constitute the practice of applied behavior analysis; revising requirements concerning the supervision of assistant behavior analysts and registered behavior technicians; exempting certain persons from provisions governing the practice of applied behavior analysis; revising the membership of the Board; establishing requirements for the ethical practice of applied behavior analysis; revising provisions governing licensure by endorsement and disciplinary actions; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

Dr. Fronapfel recommended to begin this discussion by reviewing their timeline and triaging discussed from the previous meeting. She confirmed the board members had received the documents from Courtney, Rachel, and Dr. Leytham and proceeded to discuss the list.

Dr. Fronapfel began to discuss the logo transfer to all of the ABA forms. Rachel confirmed that she received all the documents from Laryna and is currently working on this task. Rachel explained the delay with the transfer is due to waiting for the physical mailing address and website information to place on the forms. Dr. Fronapfel stated she will provide her the address.

Laryna displayed the five options for the redesign of the licenses/certificates that Rachel had created. Dr. Leytham stated that he liked option five since it is the least colorful option when considering cost. He also liked the second license/certificate in option one. Christy thanked Rachel for her work. She noticed that the state seal is big compared to the board logo which looks small. Christy recommended to shrink the state seal and maybe make it all blue instead of multiple colors. Dr. Fronapfel recommended to be in line with the other boards and have the board sign the licenses as opposed to an administrator signing them. Christy began to discuss

how they would place signatures. Jennifer explained how the Board of Psychological Examiners (BOPE) had done this and provided an example. Rachel stated she would revise these and resend to Laryna and Jennifer.

Dr. Fronapfel explained the next item on the list was to discuss a Deputy Attorney General (DAG) and asked if Julie would still be able to reach out. Julie stated she had sent an email to the administration of her office asking if and when it will be transitioned over to their Boards & Commissions Division. Once she hears back, she will contact Jennifer Frischmann and Dr. Fronapfel.

Dr. Fronapfel stated the next item on the list was the exam and location(s) which they had decided to hold off on because of Certemy and asked the board members if they had anything they wanted to discuss or continue to hold it off. Dr. Leytham recommended to hold off on this topic.

Dr. Fronapfel moved on to discuss the bank ID and provided Dr. Leytham his time to discuss his PowerPoint presentation. The full PowerPoint presentation can be found in Attachment A. Additional information accompanied with the PowerPoint can be found in Attachment B. Dr. Leytham explained that once they have the EIN and the documents, they will be able to select any of the financial institutions discussed in the presentation. Dr. Fronapfel asked if any of the banks explained what the minimum amount would be to open an account. Dr. Leytham explained that they varied from \$500 - \$1,000. Dr. Fronapfel stated she has the EIN and needed to have the documents mailed to the Reno address since this is the address the business will be associated with. She explained that they have a Heritage Bank in the North and would be able to open an account without any money. They are also familiar with the governmental agencies and there were no monthly fees. She believes the Marriage and Family Therapy Board uses them and they are housed in Las Vegas. Dr. Fronapfel stated that they will need to identify who will be the account holder and keeping in mind that their terms expire and every year the board positions change. They may want to have their new Executive Director's name on the account to proactively prevent those transitional issues. She is happy to research more on Heritage and explained they had provided friendly and helpful customer service. Christy recommended to have at least one board member on the account as well if something were to happen with the Executive Director, such as an unplanned leave or left without notice, to protect the board. Christy explained with NABA, they had to bring in signed minutes to Wells Fargo for proof of a position transition. Dr. Fronapfel stated that Heritage does the same thing regarding the minutes indicating the bill had passed. With the EIN information, Dr. Leytham will be able to ask more questions to the financial institutions and will report back next meeting. Dr. Fronapfel explained she would do the same for the Heritage bank. She also believes they voted to use QuickBooks for payroll and assumes they would still use this as opposed to using a payroll through an institution. Dr. Fronapfel also stated to keep in mind that once they are able to have the online payment option up and running in Certemy, the number of checks they currently receive will decrease significantly. Dr. Fronapfel asked if the board members had anything else they wanted to discuss. Dr. Leytham stated with all of the financial institutions he spoke with, he liked Wells Fargo the best. He also spoke with Loretta who uses Wells Fargo who has no complaints with them including the payment integration to their website. Once he receives more information, he will be able to make a better judgement.

Dr. Fronapfel moved on to discuss the next item on the list which was changes to Certemy. Christy stated she had a meeting with Laryna where they had navigated Certemy. Laryna had recorded that meeting and had found a way to send the file to Christy. Christy has since been on Certemy to learn how to navigate it with her notes. The next step they had discussed was to schedule a day and select some applications to process them so she can see that process with her. Before any changes to Certemy can be done, she needs to become proficient with the system. Dr. Leytham asked Christy if she could find out what Certemy's website integration is. He understands they have a link they can put on the website but would like to know if Certemy has a way to embed itself into their website that they choose. Dr. Fronapfel believes Certemy does and recommended to look at the Marriage and Family Therapy website. Dr. Fronapfel clarified with Jennifer that they are the only other board running Certemy with them. Jennifer believes this is true. Dr. Leytham stated that he saw a link on this site. He looked at the Occupational Therapy (OT) Board website and saw that everything had been integrated and explained to the website companies that the board does not need all the extra things they offer, they only need the web hosting side of it. When this was stated, they were not interested in only providing the web hosting.

Dr. Fronapfel began the discussion about the messaging from the board. Courtney thanked Rachel for adding the letterhead to the message she created and thanked Jennifer and Laryna for reaching out. She was able to look at what was sent out from the BOPE to look back from a previous transition and tried to mirror it based off of that to keep it consistent. Dr. Fronapfel stated that it is straight to the point. Christy agreed with Dr. Fronapfel. Christy recommended to add a reference to the law, so people know why this is happening. Jennifer asked the board if they want this to go out now under the Division or will the board send this out. Jennifer asked what their timeframe is and how do they want to get it out. If they want to mail this notice, it will be very difficult for Laryna and herself. Laryna explained they can send this message via their Listserv, and they can mail it out if that is what they want. Laryna also stated they will need specific language to post on the ADSD website. Jennifer suggested to post it as a message from the board. Dr. Fronapfel stated that she believes just going through the Listserv is acceptable and does not believe they need to hardcopy mail it. Dr. Fronapfel agreed with Jennifer that posting it on the website should be sufficient as well. Christy stated they can change the "we" pronoun and provided examples. Dr. Fronapfel requested a motion. Christy motioned that they send out a letter announcing the departure of the board under the Aging and Disability Services to an independent board starting October 1st under SB217 with the changes discussed, sending on behalf of the board, incorporating a statute from the SB217 bill, and send it out via listserv. Rachel seconded to the motion. All in favor, motion passed.

Dr. Fronapfel asked Laryna if she was able to look into a recorder for the meeting minutes. Laryna stated she did purchase a recorder which is the same recorder she uses for ADSD. The only other options were to purchase a recorder with additional space which was very costly and Laryna did not see it as necessary. Dr. Fronapfel thanked Laryna.

Dr. Fronapfel moved on to her list to discuss the website. Dr. Leytham requested to postpone this discussion until next meeting so they know which bank institution will be chosen.

Dr. Fronapfel discussed finding an accountant. She has located a name who has specific governmental agency experience. Once she is able to get a hold of her, she will bring it back to the next meeting.

6. Review, Discussion and Possible Approval of Executive Director Job Description, Salary, Hiring Process, and Interview Committee (For Possible Action)

Dr. Fronapfel began the discussion of hiring staff and job descriptions. Dr. Fronapfel stated Rachel had prepped the job description and thanked her for doing this. Also under this item is the interview committee and job postings. The board began to review the job descriptions. Dr. Fronapfel complimented Rachel stating the job description was very comprehensive. Christy recommended to organize this information into different “buckets” and possibly condense some language and maybe expand a little more on others to make it less overwhelming. Dr. Fronapfel stated that they are on a tight timeline and need to get the job description out. Dr. Leytham began to make edits to the job description as the board continued to discuss and wordsmith. The board discussed background checks. Julie is not certain if the board has the ability to do background checks on employees. Julie does not recall this stated in statute and explained that the state does. Julie will ask the Boards & Commissions Deputies that work with other licensing boards if boards have the authority to background check employees. Jennifer asked who the interested applicants should contact, and explained the job posting would be sent via listserv and posted on the ADSD website. Dr. Fronapfel stated that Loretta had offered to distribute the listing on the OT Board’s listserv as well. Christy recommended for the interested applicants to contact someone from the subcommittee. Jennifer explained that they had also elected Courtney for the interview committee. Jennifer recommended to have them contact the ABA Board email and all interested parties will be forwarded. Jennifer asked if they want resumes from interested applicants. Dr. Leytham continued to update the listing with the added recommendations.

Dr. Fronapfel requested a motion to approve the job posting. Christy moved to accept the Executive Director job description and position specifications to be distributed by ADSD staff and additional entities as the board sees fit. Rachel seconded the motion. All in favor, motion passed.

Dr. Fronapfel moved forward to discuss the individuals interested in taking part as the interview panel. Laryna forwarded the emails indicating interest. Courtney stated they had received five interested candidates for the interview committee: Dr. Gwen Dwiggins, Maria Stevenson, Jennifer Castellanos-Bonow, Dr. Wade Brown, and Dr. Kerri Milyko. Jennifer stated that they could use ADSD’s conference room for interviews. Dr. Fronapfel stated they could also conduct the interviews at the Mae Anne office as well. The board discussed their interview panel candidate of choice. Dr. Leytham stated his choice to be Dr. Gwen Dwiggins. Christy provided additional background on the four parties of interest in which she has knowledge of with exception to Dr. Gwen Dwiggins. Christy and Rachel’s choice was Jennifer Castellanos-Bonow. Dr. Fronapfel stated that she is drawn to Ms. Castellanos-Bonow as well because of her comprehensive background. Courtney agreed with Dr. Fronapfel. Christy refrained from making a motion since she does have relationships with some of the individuals discussed. She stated that she is in full support of Jennifer Castellanos-Bonow but because of the conflict of interests, she would sit back and let the other members decide. Dr. Fronapfel thanked everyone who applied and for their willingness to be involved with the community. Rachel motioned to appointing Jennifer Castellanos-Bonow to the interview hiring committee.

7. Review of Financial Status with Discussion and Possible Approval of Spending Board Funds for Potential Expenditures Related to Implementation of SB217 if it Should Pass (For Possible Action)

Dr. Leytham began to discuss the revenue. He stated their total revenue had increased \$88,000 since their last report. He is not sure where the money came from and ask Jennifer or Laryna for clarification. Laryna stated the only reason for the increase should be from the licenses and registrations they receive. Dr. Leytham explained the licenses/fees section had only increased \$7,354 dollars. Other than hiring, he did not have any expenditures to discuss, unless they need to start paying for their new rental space. Dr. Fronapfel stated that she received a contract from Loretta. Dr. Fronapfel sent the contract to Laryna to distribute to the other board members. Dr. Fronapfel explained there is general operating and shared operating expenses which include general office supplies, copier, postage meter equipment, rental maintenance, internet service, and ZOOM are included in the rent. They will be responsible for payment of all direct costs associated with the administrative functions of the board including any additional office equipment, telephone lines and service, printing of their letterhead & envelopes, license incidentals, and postage. She believes Molly Halligan has the price of the lease. Courtney stated from Molly's presentation, she had listed it at \$5,300 annually; \$441 per month. Dr. Fronapfel stated that once the lease is signed, Loretta will need 30 days' notice before moving forward. Dr. Leytham asked Dr. Fronapfel if they approve the lease if she would be the one to sign it. Dr. Fronapfel stated that she would be able to sign it and began to discuss when they think they should begin the lease agreement. Dr. Leytham stated that Jennifer had discussed with him about providing space at the Carson City office. Jennifer explained she believes this would be the best course of action up until October 1st since her and Laryna know the system. In addition, she explained if the board decides to purchase a computer with ADSD, they will be Dell laptop computers unless they want to wait until October 1st to purchase one. Dr. Fronapfel suggested to start the lease once they have their person hired, locate them in Carson City and then begin the transition process over to the Reno office. Courtney asked if they should state this information on the job announcement. Dr. Fronapfel stated they could communicate this during the interview.

8. Discussion and Possible Approval of Investigation:

- 2021-05 Pursuant to Nevada Revised Statute 437.440, *Practicing with Lapsed National Certification (For Possible Action)*

Dr. Fronapfel began this agenda item explaining the statute for practicing without a license has some things which can be identified and asked the board if they would like to move forward. Christy stated that she knows the person that this case is related to and recused herself from the discussion and voting. Dr. Fronapfel thanked Christy for her transparency and asked the remaining three board members if they would like to move forward with an investigation. Dr. Leytham stated yes. Dr. Leytham move to begin the investigation that was presented to the board in regard to a lapsed national certification. Courtney seconded the motion. All in favor, motion passed.

9. Discussion and Possible Approval of Actions Taken When an Individual Self-Reports Unintentional Lapses in National Certification (For Possible Action)

Jennifer explained this agenda item relates to the agenda item 8. They will need to discuss if there is a difference when they find out that someone has been practicing without a national certification or licensure for a prolonged period of time, or, if they self-report, would it be handled differently. Julie explained when they receive these self-reports, technically a complaint, they are admitting it is happening and Julie's suggestion was to bring it to the board

to see how they want to handle these situations. When someone self-reports an unintentional lapse in certification, and are taking steps to correct it, would the board want to initiate formal investigations by the board or do they want it to ultimately be handled through the Executive Director. They are technically not someone complaining about the actions of a licensee, it is self-reporting. Dr. Leytham explained the law states the need for approval by the board to investigate but offers no wiggle room as to whether or not if it is correct, incorrect, self-reported or not and interpreted that they would still have to investigate regardless and asked Julie for clarification. Julie explained that approve indicates they can approve or not approve. Julie explained that maybe they will not receive many of these or maybe they will receive a lot of them they may want to handle it differently. The verbiage as far as disciplinary will change once SB217 goes into effect. The question will be how they want their Executive Director to handle it. Julie suggested to maybe revisit back to this once the transition is made. Christy stated that it is her understanding that they may begin an investigation but, in some examples, other than the board voting on it, the investigation was conducted and there was no need for a presentation in front of the board. If it is handled correctly, all self-reports would not come to them, but they would still want to investigate. Dr. Fronapfel clarified that they would still like for these to be brought to the board, but they are okay with the Executive Director or somebody else making that determination following the investigation if steps have been taken to correct whatever it was that occurred. Dr. Leytham stated that it sounds reasonable. Dr. Fronapfel asked if this answered Jennifer's question. Jennifer stated absolutely and explained they wanted full transparency from the Division to show what comes in.

10. Discussion of Current Status of Applications and other ADSD Activities Pertaining to Applied Behavior Analysis (For Possible Action)

Laryna provided the application status updates. The total current numbers were as follows: 1,287 RBTs, 28 LaBAs, 343 LBAs. She explained that these numbers do not include the individuals who have become inactive, as there were five RBTs with an inactive status. The pending numbers were as follows: 264 RBTs, 14 LaBAs, and 48 LBAs. The following were total numbers completed in May: 57 RBTs and 9 LBAs. So far in June, they completed 9 RBTs and 3 LBAs. Regarding the Emergency Directive 011, there were still 41 RBTs who deferred renewal payment and 7 LBAs who have also deferred their payment for renewal. There were 11 RBTs currently practicing under the Directive without a registration who are practicing under the Directive without a license. Dr. Fronapfel asked Jennifer if they have heard anything about the Directive being pulled. Jennifer stated no. Christy stated that she anticipates this will be soon. Jennifer explained that if they go to the Governor's website to look under the Directives, it will show when the last time it was updated. Christy reiterated and clarified that they have 60 days to complete their fingerprinting for the background and for their results to be received and to submit their payment. Jennifer stated the majority of individuals practicing under this Directive are fee deferrals. Christy stated that there are 11 RBTs and 3 LBAs working under the Directive may or may not have their fingerprints done so those people will perhaps need to start that because they are going into a transition to ensure they can continue to practice and so the consumers can get access to treatment.

11. Determine Future Agenda Items (For Possible Action)

Dr. Fronapfel asked the other board members if they had any additional items, they would like added for the next agenda besides their standing items. Jennifer recommended to reword the standing agenda item reflecting the passing of SB217. Dr. Fronapfel requested a motion.

Christy moved to keep all of their standing agenda items, including those related to SB217 on the agenda for their next meeting. Courtney seconded the motion. All in favor, motion passed.

12. Public Comment

(No action may be taken upon a matter raised under public comment period unless the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an action item. Comments will be limited to three minutes per person. Persons making comment will be asked to begin by stating their name for the record and to spell their last name and provide the secretary with written comments.)

No public comment.

13. Adjournment

Dr. Fronapfel adjourned the meeting at 12:54 pm.

NOTE: We are pleased to make reasonable accommodations for members of the public who have disabilities and wish to attend the meeting. If special arrangements for the meeting are necessary, please notify Laryna Lewis at (775) 687-0503 as soon as possible and at least one **business** day in advance of the meeting. If you wish, you may e-mail her at larynalewis@adsd.nv.gov. Supporting materials for this meeting are available at 3416 Goni Road, D-132, Carson City, NV 89706, or by contacting Laryna Lewis at 775-687-0503, or by email larynalewis@adsd.nv.gov.

In accordance with Nevada Governor Sisolak's Declaration of Emergency Directive 006 there will not be a physical location for the Nevada Board of Applied Behavior Analysis. The public is strongly encouraged to participate by phone or Teams link and download any material provided for the meeting at the website addresses below.

- As per Nevada Governor Sisolak's Declaration of Emergency Directive 006; Subsection 3: The requirements contained in NRS 241.020 (4) (a) that public notice agendas be posted at physical locations within the State of Nevada are suspended.
- As per Nevada Governor Sisolak's Declaration of Emergency Directive 006; Subsection 4: Public bodies must still comply with requirements in NRS 241.020 (4)(b) and NRS 241.020 (4)(c) that public notice agendas be posted to Nevada's notice website and the public body's website, if it maintains one along with providing a copy to any person who has requested one via U.S. mail or electronic mail.
- As per Nevada Governor Sisolak's Declaration of Emergency Directive 006; Subsection 5: The requirement contained in NRS 241.020 (3)(c) that physical locations be available for the public to receive supporting material for public meetings is suspended.
- As per Nevada Governor Sisolak's Declaration of Emergency Directive 006; Subsection 6: If a public body holds a meeting and does not provide a physical location where supporting material is available to the public, the public body must provide on its public notice agenda the name and contact information for the person designated by the public body from whom a member of the public may request supporting material electronically and must post supporting material to the public body's website, if it maintains one.

Agenda Posted at the Following Locations:

1. Aging and Disability Services Division, Carson City Office, 3416 Goni Road, Suite D-132, Carson City, NV 89706
2. Aging and Disability Services Division, Las Vegas Office, 1860 East Sahara Avenue, Las Vegas, NV 89104
3. Aging and Disability Services Division, Reno Office, 9670 Gateway Drive, Suite 200 Reno, NV 89521
4. Aging and Disability Services Division, Elko Office, 1010 Ruby Vista Drive, Suite 104, Elko, NV 89801
5. Nevada State Library and Archives, 100 North Stewart Street, Carson City, NV 89706
6. Desert Regional Center, 1391 South Jones Boulevard, Las Vegas, NV 89146
7. Sierra Regional Center, 605 South 21st Street, Reno, NV 89431
8. Rural Regional Center, 1665 Old Hot Springs Road, Carson City, NV 89706
9. State Legislative Building, 401 S. Carson Street, Suite 3138, Carson City, NV 89701
10. Grant Sawyer State Office Building, 555 E. Washington Ave., Suite 4401, Las Vegas, NV 89119
11. Department of Health and Human Services, 4126 Technology Way, Suite 100, Carson City 89706

Agenda and supporting materials posted online on the following sites:

<http://adsd.nv.gov/Boards/ABA/ABA/>

<https://notice.nv.gov/>